JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	i				
William Arroyo				Aspen Construction	n Services	, Inc. and Krzy	sztof Kaczm	arczyk	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Frank P. Spada, Jr., Esqu Semanoff Ormsby Green 2617 Huntingdon Pike, H	berg & Torchia, LLC		200	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citiz		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF 4	DEF 3 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a preign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6 ———
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			יכול	OPERITIDE/DENALTV		here for: Nature of			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 1367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	RTY Ø 7	CABOR LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Applicatio 65 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe	RTY RIGHTS rights tt - Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	480 Consum 485 Telephon 485 Telephon 490 Cable/Ss 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other Ste 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis Act/Revi	aims Act a (31 USC) a apportionn t ad Banking ce tion er Influenc Organizati er Credit ne Consum on Act at TV es/Common ge auttory Act ural Acts mental Ma a of Inform ion strative Pro- iew or App Decision tionality o	ted and cons dities/
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	Rec	(specif	ner District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	1 -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON FLSA 29 U.S.C. S	Section 201 et seq	paid	(Do not cite jurisdictional st					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTIO 23, F.R.Cv.P.	N I	DEMAND \$ In exc 150,000.00		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND		complai No	
VIII. RELATED CASS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Slom			DOCKI	ET NUMBER	2:18-cv-	02471	-JHS
DATE ////// FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF A	FORNEY	OF RECORD					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE_		MAG. JUI	DGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

dress of Plaintiff: 155 E. Godfrey Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19120					
Address of Defendant:	dress of Defendant: 2136 Haworth Street, Philadelphia, PA 19124				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:					
	Committee and research and the second				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:2:18-cv-02471-JHS	Judge: Slomsky	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answere	d to any of the following questions:	<u> </u>			
Is this case related to property included in an expreviously terminated action in this court?	arlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No V			
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminal	r grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit ted action in this court?	Yes No No			
Does this case involve the validity or infringen numbered case pending or within one year preva-	nent of a patent already in suit or any earlier viously terminated action of this court?	Yes No No			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corp case filed by the same individual?	us, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸			
this court except as noted above.	is / is not related to any case now pending or				
DATE:					
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	The second of th			
☐ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and ☐ 2. FELA	d All Other Contracts	ntract and Other Contracts onal Injury			
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defan	mation			
☐ 4. Antitrust ☐ 5. Patent		e Personal Injury			
6. Labor-Management Relations7. Civil Rights	☐ 6. Other Personal ☐ 7. Products Liabi	al Injury (Please specify):ility			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liabi	ility – Asbestos			
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	9. All other Diver (Please specify):	ersity Cases			
11. All other Federal Question Cases					
(Please specify): Fair Labor Sta	indards Act				
(The effe	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION act of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility	ty for arbitration.)			
_{I,} Frank P. Spada, Jr., Esquire	, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:					
Deliaf other than manatamy demograpia as	anght.				
Relief other than monetary damages is so	-) / /				
DATE:	Sign Bere it applicable	48331			
4/4/15	Sign there it applicable Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	48331 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

WILLIAM ARROYO, on behaviors and all others v.		; ;	CIVIL ACTION		
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	Justice Expense Management Tacopy on all defent that a defenall, with its firstes, a Case Management	and Delay Reduct Track Designation Fendants. (See § 1:0 Idant does not agree appearance, submit agement Track Des	NO. ion Plan of this court, counsel form in all civil cases at the tim 3 of the plan set forth on the review with the plaintiff regarding set to the clerk of court and serve ignation Form specifying the transport of the court and serve ignation form specifying the transport of the court and serve ignation form specifying the transport of the court and serve ignation form specifying the transport of the court and serve ignation form specifying the transport of the court and serve ignation form specifying the transport of the court and serve ignation for the court, counts and serve ignation for the court, counts and serve ignation for the court and serv	e of erse said e on	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CA	SE MANAGEME	NT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases br	ought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241 thro	ugh § 2255.	()	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (
(e) Special Management — Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)					
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do no	t fall into any one o	of the other tracks.	()	
// // // / / / / Date (215) 887–0200 Telephone	Attorney-a Frank R. S (215) 884- FAX Num	pada, Jr. Esq.	Attorney for Plaintiff fspada@sogtlaw.com E-Mail Address	:	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ASPEN CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC. and KRZYSZTOF KACZMARCZYK In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned. SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS: (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney-at-lay Prank R Spada, Jr. Esq. fspada@sogtlaw.com FAX Number E-Mail Address	WILLIAM ARROYO, on bel Himself and all others situated v.		CIVIL ACTION	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. fspada@sogtlaw.com	KRZYSZTOF KACZMARCZYK In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	I Justice Expense and Dose Management Track Dose a copy on all defendants event that a defendant doshall, with its first appearties, a Case Managemen	elay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel resignation Form in all civil cases at the time. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverses not agree with the plaintiff regarding sance, submit to the clerk of court and serve t Track Designation Form specifying the tra	of rse aid on
(b) Social Security — Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration — Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos — Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management — Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management — Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. fspada@sogtlaw.com (215) 884–3500	SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. fspada@sogtlaw.com (215) 884–3500	(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases l	orought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255. (()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. (215) 887–0200 fspada@sogtlaw.com				()
exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. (215) 887–0200 (215) 884–3500	(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (()
commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () Attorney-at-lay Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. (215) 887–0200 (215) 884–3500		ing claims for personal in	njury or property damage from (
Date Attorney-at-law Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. (215) 887-0200 (215) 884-3500 fspada@sogtlaw.com	commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s	complex and that need s	pecial or intense management by	×
Date Attorney-at-lay (215) 887-0200 Attorney for Plaintiff Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. fspada@sogtlaw.com (215) 884-3500	(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall int	to any one of the other tracks. (()
(215) 887-0200 Frank R. Spada, Jr. Esq. fspada@sogtlaw.com		To Pfull		
(215) 887-0200fspada@sogtlaw.com	Date	1 / / 2 / 1		
Telephone (215) 884-3500 FAX Number E-Mail Address	(215) 887–0200			
	Telephone	(215) 884–3500 FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM ARROYO, on behalf of

CIVIL ACTION

Himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

NO.

v.

ASPEN CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.

2136 Haworth Street Philadelphia, PA 19124

and

KRZYSZTOF KACZMARCZYK c/o Aspen Construction Services, Inc. 2136 Haworth Street Philadelphia, PA 19124

Defendants.

COMPLAINT - COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff, William Arroyo ("Plaintiff" or "Arroyo"), by and through his counsel, bring this collective action lawsuit against Defendant Aspen Construction Services, Inc. ("Aspen") and Krzysztof Kaczmarczyk ("Kaczmarczyk") (collectively "Defendants" unless specifically designated otherwise), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.,

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
 - 2. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Arroyo is an adult individual whose residence is 155 E. Godfrey Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19120. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff Arroyo has consented in writing to become a Plaintiff in this action. See Exhibit A.
- 4. Defendant Aspen is a construction company that performs work in this jurisdiction and is headquartered at 2136 Haworth Street, Philadelphia, PA 19124. It also maintains a mail drop box at 4201 Neshaminy Boulevard, Suite 108, Bensalem, PA 19020.
- 5. Defendant Kaczmarczyk is the President and owner of Aspen who works out of the Haworth Street headquarters and is responsible for daily operational control of Aspen because he, *inter alia*, has the sole power to hire and fire employees, the power to determine wages for those employees, the power to schedule the employees work day and the hours they work, the responsibility to maintain employment records and other duties that signify significant operational control over the corporation's day-to day functions.

FACTS

- 6. Aspen is a construction company that provides masonry work on various projects, both commercial and residential. It provides brick, block, stucco, siding and roofing services for its clients.
- 7. Defendants employ various masons/laborers in order to provide construction services on its projects.
- 8. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants, worked in the mason/laborer position and was paid on an hourly basis.
- 9. All time records and information pertaining to hours worked and compensation of the Plaintiff are maintained at Aspen's corporate headquarters.

{01706703;v2} -2-

- 10. All timekeeping and compensation policies and practices relevant to this lawsuit have been developed at and implemented by Kaczmarczyk from Aspen's headquarters.
- 11. All paychecks, paystubs, IRS W-2 forms, and any other payroll documents are generated from and bear the address of Aspen's headquarters or its mail drop box address.
- 12. Defendants routinely made knowingly improper payments to employees for wages in the form of cash for work performed, without making the applicable deductions required by law, in order to avoid those deductions and to avoid paying overtime wages for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in any workweek.
- 13. Aspen performed services during Plaintiff's employment in a variety of locations in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, including Philadelphia.
- 14. Plaintiff was employed full time by Defendants and often worked in excess of 40 hours per week without compensation.
- 15. Defendants have failed to compensate Plaintiff for overtime wages due from March 2016 through October 2018.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Plaintiffs bring this FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) on behalf of themselves and all others employed by Defendants in the United States in the mason/laborer position during any time from November 11, 2016 through the present.
- 17. Plaintiffs' FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiffs and putative collective members are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and the associated decisions of law.

COUNT I - FLSA

- 18. All of the previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 19. Plaintiff and putative collective members are employees covered by the FLSA's protections and Defendants are employers required to follow FLSA mandates.
- 20. The FLSA requires Defendants to pay Plaintiff and the putative collective members overtime premium compensation calculated at 150% of their regular pay rate for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week.
- 21. Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the putative collective members any compensation for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week.
- 22. In violating the FLSA, Defendants acted knowingly, willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

JURY DEMAND

23. Plaintiff requests a jury trial as to all claims so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the following relief on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated:

- A. An order permitting this lawsuit to proceed as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- B. Unpaid regular wages and overtime wages;
- C. Liquidated damages and/or pre-judgment interest;

{01706703;v2}

- D. Attorney's fees and costs; and
- E. Any other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

SEMANOFF ORMSBY GREENBERG & TORCHIA, LLC

BY:

FRANK P. SPADA, JR., ESQUIRE STEPHEN C. GOLDBLUM, ESQUIRE JOSEPH W. FLUEHR, ESQUIRE

2617 Huntingdon Pike

Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

(215) 887-0200

fspada@sogtlaw.com

sgoldblum@sogtlaw.com

jfluehr@sogtlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DATED: $\frac{l(l)l}{l}$

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM	ARRO	YO, on	behalf of
---------	------	--------	-----------

Himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

v.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

ASPEN CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC. 2136 Haworth Street
Philadelphia, PA 19124

and

KRZYSZTOF KACZMARCZYK c/o Aspen Construction Services, Inc. 2136 Haworth Street Philadelphia, PA 19124

Defendants.

OPT-IN CONSENT FORM

- 1. I have worked as a mason/laborer for Aspen Construction Services, Inc. and its owner Krzysztof Kaczmarczyk. I worked there from about February 2016 until about October 2018.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit includes claims for unpaid wages under the Fair Labor Standards Act (including for unpaid minimum wages, overtime, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs and other relief). I consent to become an opt-in plaintiff in this lawsuit and to be bound by any judgment, settlement or resolution of those claims.
- 3. I designate Frank P. Spada, Jr., Esquire and Stephen C. Goldblum, Esquire of Semanoff Ormsby Greenberg & Torchia, LLC, 2617 Huntingdon Pike, Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006 to represent me for all purposes in this lawsuit.

 Signature: Myllm ff
 Date: 11/6/2019

 Name Printed: William froy
 DOB: 7/29/1977

 Address: 155 E. Godfrey Avenue, I-405
 Phone: 267-864-1101

Philadelphia, PA 19120 Email: arroyowilliam583@gmail.com